EUROJUST'S INDEPENDENT DATA PROTECTION SUPERVISOR

The Hague, 9 November 2017

Re: Urgent letter of the Joint Supervisory Body of Eurojust regarding the ongoing negotiations on the

Eurojust Regulation and the related recast of Regulation (EC) 45/2001

The Joint Supervisory Body of Eurojust ("ISB") in its meeting of today has been informed about the latest

developments with regard to the negotiations on the Regulation on the European Union Agency for Criminal

Justice Cooperation (Eurojust) and the related recast of Regulation (EC) 45/2001.

The JSB is deeply concerned about the intention to establish the direct application of Regulation (EC) 45/2001

to the processing of all the personal data carried out by Eurojust, including the operational personal data, by

introducing a general chapter (provisions of which are copied from other instruments) on the processing of

operational data to be applied for all the EU JHA agencies. Such proposal is based apparently on the intention to

ensure the full harmonisation throughout the EU, eliminating the fragmentation and patchwork in the area of

ex 3rd pillar, as a result of the Lisbon Treaty. Nevertheless, the JSB wishes to flag that the approach "one size fits

all" in the area of the judicial cooperation in criminal matters will simply not work and create even more legal

uncertainty not only for Eurojust, but more importantly to the national competent authorities and the data

subjects. It is important to highlight that Declaration No 21 on the protection of personal data in the fields of

judicial cooperation in criminal matters and police cooperation annexed to the TEU and the TFEU, recognises

the specificity of personal data processing in the field of judicial cooperation in criminal matters and the free movement of such data, therefore the data protection rules in the field of judicial cooperation in criminal

matters based on Article 16 TFEU may prove to be necessary because of the specific nature of these fields.

Being aware that the discussions on the draft Eurojust Regulation and the discussions on the recast of

Regulation (EC) 45/2001 are closely interlinked, the ISB urges the European Commission, European

Parliament and the Council to consider the following elements, taking full account of the mandate and tasks of

Eurojust and the fact that all the information, Eurojust receives and processes, comes from and goes back to

the national authorities:

JOINT SUPERVISORY BODY OF EUROJUST

SECRETARIAT: P.O. BOX 16183

2500 BD THE HAGUE THE NETHERLANDS

TEL +31 70 412 5512

FAX +31 70 412 5515

EUROJUST'S INDEPENDENT DATA PROTECTION SUPERVISOR

- to keep the specific Data Protection regime for the processing of $\underline{operational\ data}$ in the Eurojust Regulation

and apply Regulation (EC) 45/2001 only to the administrative data (as it is now proposed in the Council's text

Article 26b and it is presently in the Europol Regulation applicable from 1st May 2017). This would be by far

the best option both from the operational and the Data Protection viewpoint. In fact, the Eurojust specific Data

Protection regime, proposed by the Council, will not constitute a "patchwork" as all the provisions will be fully

in line with the General Data Protection Regulation and the new Police Directive; they will however, be much

more precise and clear.

- in any case, it is crucial that the basic elements are kept in the text of the Eurojust Regulation and not deleted

as it is now proposed by the European Parliament. The JSB is worried to see the deletion of a number of key

elements in practice such as Article 27 with regard to the automated/manual processing of personal data,

categories of data subjects, the reference to the list of the data categories (listed in Annex II, leaving only a few

references to Annex II in relation to which data cannot be contained in the index of CMS) conditions for

processing of personal data for witnesses/victims, processing of special categories of data, etc. We are

concerned as well as to deletion of the obligation to consult the national authorities regarding any request of

access to personal data, which could negatively impact the trust of the Member States on Eurojust. It is

important to have those provisions in the Eurojust Regulation as it is now in the Council's text (Articles 27, 27d,

27e, 27f). Other fundamental elements ensuring the legal certainty in terms of personal data processing such as

the provisions on time limits (art 28), the right of access (art 32), the access regime to the CMS (art 30), the

escalation procedure for the DPO in cases of non-compliance (paragraph included in art 31), the responsibility

on Data Protection matters/liability for unauthorised or incorrect processing (art 31) as well as the data

transfers provisions in art 38.4 and 38.5 must be kept in the Eurojust Regulation. These elements are crucial for

the proper work of Eurojust and the trust of the Member States.

- it is of fundamental importance that, regardless of what is decided as to which rules apply to Eurojust

regarding Data Protection, Eurojust keeps at least a legal basis in the Eurojust Regulation allowing to develop

further Data Protection rules which could, if necessary, particularise and complement the application of

Regulation (EC) 45/2001.

JOINT SUPERVISORY BODY OF EUROJUST

SECRETARIAT: P.O. BOX 16183 2500 BD THE HAGUE THE NETHERLANDS

> TEL +31 70 412 5512 FAX +31 70 412 5515

USB EUROJUST'S INDEPENDENT DATA PROTECTION SUPERVISOR

- in the light of the experience acquired during the fourteen years of the supervisory work, the JSB wants also to emphasise the importance of ensuring the proper involvement of the national competent authorities, but also the national supervisory authorities in the work of Eurojust (as it was done in the Europol Regulation establishing the Cooperation Board (Article 45)).

Last but not least - the issue of the time of application: both Eurojust Regulation and Regulation (EC) 45/2001 should apply to Eurojust at the same time, when the Eurojust Regulation enters into force.

To sum up, the JSB strongly believes that applying entirely the revised Regulation (EC) 45/2001 to Eurojust would mean a huge step backwards from the Data Protection viewpoint, moving from clear and precise rules defining the obligations of Eurojust and the rights of individuals back to quite general rules, creating a complete legal vacuum on many questions. The Council's text of the draft Eurojust Regulation provides a far more detailed and specific regime for operational data processing, fully in line with the recently adopted Data Protection package. It would therefore ensure full coherence with the regime applicable to Eurojust's direct counterparts - the national competent authorities, applying the same standards but at the same time guaranteeing that no gaps exist hampering the operational work of Eurojust.

Yours sincerely

Rajko Pirnat

Chair

Joint Supervisory Body of Eurojust (Slovenia)

Law humul Hans Frennered

Permanent Member of the Joint Supervisory Body of Eurojust (Sweden)

Wilbert Tomesen

Permanent Member of the Joint Supervisory Body of Eurojust (The Netherlands)

JOINT SUPERVISORY BODY OF EUROJUST

SECRETARIAT: P.O. BOX 16183 2500 BD THE HAGUE THE NETHERLANDS TEL +31 70 412 5512 FAX +31 70 412 5515

E-MAIL: jsb@eurojust.europa.eu http://www.eurojust.europa.eu/jsb.htm